When *Architecture & Culture*, the *Journal of the Architectural Humanities Research Association (AHRA)*, was first launched in November 2013, the intention was to include book reviews. We have a designated editor for book reviews, and we have sporadically published essays that review books when those essays concern the theme of a particular journal issue. What we have not done is to dedicate a regular section of the journal to book reviews, or to solicit new books from publishers (who send them, regardless – and we remain grateful for all received).

Here, we broach the issue of book reviews by foregrounding the suggestion that to review is more than to formulate a critique of something; it is “to look at or to examine again ... to look back upon” (Collins English Dictionary). Our interest is to re-view the book review, to study its different roles and explore its possibilities for architecture’s various modes of production, dissemination and reflection.

We seek inquiries into one or more of the following:
- the deliberate return to a book, whether a ‘key text’ or a book previously overlooked
- the re-view of a review, or the conversation between reviews
- the practice of reviewing and its significance for the reviewer, the reviewed, and for architectural practice
- the review of books not only by architects or explicitly about architecture, but from other disciplines – books that, through review, are brought into architecture’s orbit
- the review not only of a book’s text or its visual content of, but more broadly of what it is that a book can do and how within architecture’s different kinds of practice

Submissions may be essays, short or long, or they may respond to books in alternative ways – through poems, for instance, or conversations, blogs, or other reflective constructions. They may focus on buildings that consider books, where the building itself constitutes a book review. They may be predominantly verbal, or alternatively visual or aural. Our intention is to look back and to speculate upon the future of the role of the book in and through architecture, not to assume that “this will kill that”, as Victor Hugo suggested of book versus building, but to consider the way in which the review of books is caught up in architecture’s culture, and inspires, conspires and collaborates with architecture’s various productions and practices.

**Deadline for submissions:** 17th June 2019

**Issue publication (online and print):** March 2020
Diana Periton is one of the managing editors of *Architecture & Culture*. After 25 years spent teaching variously at the Architectural Association, London, the University of Cambridge Department of Architecture, the Mackintosh School of Architecture at the Glasgow School of Art, and the Leicester School of Architecture, she is now an ‘independent scholar’. Her interest, both in teaching and in research, is in the way in which architecture and cities are described and interpreted, in order to begin to reveal and to understand the range of assumptions, ideas and ideals on which architecture and urbanism rely.

Stephen Walker is editor of book reviews for *Architecture & Culture*. Trained as an architect, he worked for architectural and design practices in the UK and Spain. He has taught at Nottingham Trent University and the Sheffield School of Architecture, and is currently Head of Architecture at the University of Manchester. His research broadly encompasses architectural and critical theory and examines the questions that theoretical projects can raise about particular moments of architectural and artistic practice – he has worked extensively on the artists Gordon Matta-Clark and Helen Chadwick, and has recently been developing a project on the architecture of travelling street fairs and fairgrounds.